



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C., UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Ojini Action #7 07/21/03

In re Application of:

Applicant(s): **LAYCOCK**

U.S. Ser. No.: **09/890,181**

U.S. Filing Date: **27 December 2001**

Int'l Appln. No.: **PCT/US00/15917**

Int'l Filing Date: **07 January 2000**

Priority Date: **03 February 1999**

Entitled: **ANGLE HEAD GRINDING METHOD
AND APPARATUS**

Our File Ref.: **LAL-C522-US**

RECEIVED

JUL 21 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Examiner: **OJINI, E.**

Group Art Unit: **3723**

REPLY TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:

Now comes Applicant, by counsel, and in response to the Office Action dated 15 May 2003, respectfully submits the following reply thereto. A Petition for a One-Month Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) is respectfully submitted herewith, together with an Authorization to charge deposit account in the amount of \$110 for payment of the fee therefor.

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the within "Reply to Restriction Requirement" is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Honorable Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark

Office, Post Office Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, for first-class mail delivery,
postage pre-paid, on this the 11th day of July, 2003.

ELECTION OF INVENTION FOR EXAMINATION

At page 2 of the instant Office Action, the Examiner alleges that the above-referenced application contains claims drawn to 2 distinct inventions: I) claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, and 11, which the Examiner claims are drawn to a grinding machine; and, II) claims 3, 4, 7, 10, and 12, which the Examiner alleges are drawn to a method of simultaneously grinding cylindrical and radial faces of a workpiece. The Examiner further alleges that the method of claims 3, 4, 7, 10, and 12 can be practiced by another materially different apparatus that does not require a computer controlled means.

Applicant elects Invention I for examination and respectfully submits that said claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, and 11 are in condition for allowance. However, should the Examiner believe unresolved issues remain, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to contact Applicant's undersigned counsel, who can be reached at the telephone numbers listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL LAYCOCK, Application



Date: 11 July 2003

Daniel C. Stelter, Reg. No. 40,830
Counsel for Applicant

UNOVA Industrial Automation Systems Inc.
4701 Marburg Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45209
513.841.7032 phone, 513.841.7020 fax
dan_stelter@cinmach.com e-mail